



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/626,328	07/23/2003		Udo Schutz	PR-47	1350
7	7590	04/04/2005		EXAMINER	
Friedrich Kueffner			BASTIANELLI, JOHN		
Suite 910 317 Madison A	Avenue		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, N	Y 10017	•	•	3751	
				DATE MAILED: 04/04/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			7
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/626,328	SCHUTZ, UDO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Bastianelli	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a pply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ The 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma		:
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom is/are withdom is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 			
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the 	a) accepted or b) objection accepted or b) objection is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
•			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-932) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	n(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/626,328

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lay US 6,302,132 in view of Erickson US 5,606,992.

Lay discloses a tapping valve constructed as a ball valve 120 having a valve housing 130 with an inlet connection connectable to a liquid container (suggested use) and an outlet connection 130 that is welded to a male part of a quick disconnect coupling 140 (seen as a male part as there is not a female part claimed and anything can be considered quick disconnect) for connecting a discharge hose (suggested use) with the valve housing and coupling made of high density polyethylene (col. 5, lines 28-35 and col. 6, lines 49-52). Lay lacks the polyethylene of the coupling being reinforced with glass fibers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make both the valve housing and coupling of Lay as glass fiber-reinforced as taught by Erickson in order to make both pieces stronger and the glass provides rapid crystallization during the molding process. The outlet connection is seen as having a reduced diameter portion compared to the outer diameter of the entire valve.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,328 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

Response to Arguments

3. Regarding applicant's argument that Erickson does not provide a teaching of a male part of a quick disconnect coupling of glass fiber-reinforced polyethylene of high density with the outlet connection of the valve housing has a reduced diameter portion, the examiner is only using Erickson for the teaching of the polyethylene reinforced with glass fibers. The rest of the claim limitations are provided by Lay as stated in the rejection above.

4. The examiner would like to note that the amended claim "wherein the outlet connection of the valve housing has a reduced diameter portion" does not specify as to what the reduced diameter portion is relative to. Therefore the claim is met by any part of the outlet connection having a reduced diameter portion.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Bastianelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-4921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Bastianelli Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

March 29, 2005